204 U.S.

Syllabus.

## CROWE v. HARMON.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF THE TERRITORY OF
ARIZONA.

No. 70. Submitted October 31, 1906.—Decided January 21, 1907.

Decided on authority of *Crowe* v. *Trickey*, ante, p. 228. 71 Pac. Rep. 1125, affirmed.

Mr. W. C. Keegin, Mr. F. H. Hereford and Mr. S. E. Hazzard for appellant.<sup>1</sup>

Mr. Eugene S. Ives for appellees.

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE FULLER: This case is identical in all essential respects with that just decided, and must take the same course.

Judgment affirmed.

## BALLARD v. HUNTER.

ERROR TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ARKANSAS.

No. 123. Argued December 7, 1906.—Decided January 14, 1907.

- A State may make reasonable discriminations in regard to service of process for enforcement of liens for taxes and assessments on real estate between resident and non-resident owners, providing for personal service on the former and constructive service by publication on the latter.
- Land stands accountable to the demands of the State, and owners are charged with knowledge of laws affecting it, and the manner in which those demands may be enforced.
- Whether provisions as to notice and service in a state statute have been complied with is wholly for the state court to determine.
- Due process of law has never been precisely defined; while its fundamental requirement is opportunity for hearing and defense, the procedure may be adapted to the case, and proceedings in court are not always essential.

VOL. CCIV—16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For abstract of argument see ante, p. 234.